## Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 88

Montgomery County, Texas Independent Auditor's Report and Financial Statements August 31, 2018



## Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 88 August 31, 2018

### Contents

Independent Auditor's Report	1
Management's Discussion and Analysis	3
Basic Financial Statements	
Statement of Net Position and Governmental Funds Balance Sheet	10
Statement of Activities and Governmental Funds Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances	12
Notes to Financial Statements	14
Required Supplementary Information	
Budgetary Comparison Schedule – General Fund	
Notes to Required Supplementary Information	
Other Information	
Other Schedules Included Within This Report	30
Schedule of Services and Rates	
Schedule of General Fund Expenditures	
Analysis of Taxes Levied and Receivable	
Schedule of Long-term Debt Service Requirements by Years	35
Changes in Long-term Bonded Debt	
Comparative Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures – General Fund and Debt Service Fund – Five Years	41
Board Members, Key Personnel and Consultants	



### Independent Auditor's Report

Board of Directors Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 88 Montgomery County, Texas

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 88 (the District), as of and for the year ended August 31, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



Board of Directors Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 88 Page 2

#### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities and each major fund of the District as of August 31, 2018, and the respective changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Other Matters**

#### Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis and budgetary comparison schedule listed in the table of contents be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

#### **Other Information**

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The other information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

BKD,LIP

Houston, Texas January 11, 2019

#### **Overview of the Financial Statements**

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the District's basic financial statements. The District's basic financial statements are comprised of three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) fund financial statements and 3) notes to financial statements. This report also contains supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board and other information required by the District's state oversight agency, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (the Commission).

In accordance with required reporting standards, the District reports its financial activities as a special-purpose government. Special-purpose governments are governmental entities which engage in a single governmental program, such as the provision of water, sanitary sewer and drainage services. Other activities, such as the provision of recreation facilities and solid waste collection, are minor activities and are not budgeted or accounted for as separate programs. The financial statements of special-purpose governments combine two types of financial statements into one statement. These two types of financial statements are the government-wide financial statements and the fund financial statements. The fund financial statements are presented on the left side of the statements, a column for adjustments is to the right of the fund financial statements column. The following sections describe the measurement focus of the two types of statements and the significant differences in the information they provide.

#### **Government-wide Financial Statements**

The focus of government-wide financial statements is on the overall financial position and activities of the District. The District's government-wide financial statements include the statement of net position and statement of activities, which are prepared using accounting principles that are similar to commercial enterprises. The purpose of the statement of net position is to attempt to report all of the assets, liabilities, and deferred inflows and outflows of resources of the District. The District reports all of its assets when it acquires or begins to maintain the assets and reports all of its liabilities when they are incurred.

The difference between the District's assets, liabilities, and deferred inflows and outflows of resources is labeled as net position and this difference is similar to the total stockholders' equity presented by a commercial enterprise.

The purpose of the statement of activities is to present the revenues and expenses of the District. Again, the items presented on the statement of activities are measured in a manner similar to the approach used by a commercial enterprise in that revenues are recognized when earned or established criteria are satisfied and expenses are reported when incurred by the District. All changes in net position are reported when the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues are reported even when they may not be collected for several months or years after the end of the accounting period and expenses are recorded even though they may not have used cash during the current year.

Although the statement of activities looks different from a commercial enterprise's statement of income, the financial statement is different only in format, not substance. Whereas the bottom line in a commercial enterprise is its net income, the District reports an amount described as change in net position, essentially the same thing.

#### **Fund Financial Statements**

Unlike government-wide financial statements, the focus of fund financial statements is directed to specific activities of the District rather than the District as a whole. Except for the general fund, a specific fund is established to satisfy managerial control over resources or to satisfy finance-related legal requirements established by external parties or governmental statutes or regulations.

#### Governmental Funds

Governmental-fund financial statements consist of a balance sheet and a statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances and are prepared on an accounting basis that is significantly different from that used to prepare the government-wide financial statements.

In general, these financial statements have a short-term emphasis and, for the most part, measure and account for cash and other assets that can easily be converted into cash. For example, amounts reported on the balance sheet include items such as cash and receivables collectible within a very short period of time, but do not include capital assets such as land and water, sewer and drainage systems. Fund liabilities include amounts that are to be paid within a very short period after the end of the fiscal year. The difference between a fund's assets, liabilities, and deferred inflows and outflows of resources is labeled the fund balance and generally indicates the amount that can be used to finance the next fiscal year's activities. Likewise, the operating statement for governmental funds reports only those revenues and expenditures that were collected in cash or paid with cash, respectively, during the current period or very shortly after the end of the fiscal year.

Because the focus of the government-wide and fund financial statements is different, there are significant differences between the totals presented in these financial statements. For this reason, there is an analysis in the notes to financial statements that describes the adjustments to fund balances to arrive at net position presented in the governmental activities column on the statement of net position. Also, there is an analysis in the notes to financial statements that reconciles the total change in fund balances for all governmental funds to the change in net position, as reported in the governmental activities column in the statement of activities.

#### **Notes to Financial Statements**

The notes to financial statements provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data found in the government-wide and fund financial statements.

#### Financial Analysis of the District as a Whole

The District's overall financial position and activities for the past two years are summarized as follows, based on the information included in the government-wide financial statements.

	 2018	2017
Current and other assets Capital assets	\$ 4,306,242 16,110,417	\$ 4,490,777 13,165,679
Total assets	\$ 20,416,659	\$ 17,656,456
Long-term liabilities Other liabilities	\$ 19,573,994 454,171	\$ 17,323,589 274,078
Total liabilities	 20,028,165	 17,597,667
Net position: Net investment in capital assets Restricted Unrestricted	 (2,949,366) 1,295,893 2,041,967	 (2,824,166) 1,262,033 1,620,922
Total net position	\$ 388,494	\$ 58,789

#### **Summary of Net Position**

The total net position of the District increased by \$329,705 or about 561 percent. The majority of the increase in net position is related to tax revenues intended to pay principal on the District's bonded indebtedness, which is shown as long-term liabilities in the government-wide financial statements. Although the District's investment in its capital assets is reported net of related debt, it should be noted that the resources needed to repay this debt must be provided from other sources, since the capital assets themselves cannot be used to liquidate these liabilities.

#### Summary of Changes in Net Position

	2018	2017
Revenues:		
Property taxes	\$ 2,020,766	\$ 1,896,352
Charges for services	747,144	588,819
Other revenues	 311,641	 174,550
Total revenues	 3,079,551	 2,659,721

		<u></u>		
	2018			2017
Expenses:				
Services	\$	1,444,473	\$	1,113,567
Depreciation		488,228		419,100
Debt service		817,145		376,183
Total expenses		2,749,846		1,908,850
Change in net position		329,705		750,871
Net position, beginning of year		58,789		(692,082)
Net position, end of year	\$	388,494	\$	58,789

Summary of Changes in Net Position (Continued)

#### Financial Analysis of the District's Funds

The District's combined fund balances as of the end of the fiscal year ended August 31, 2018, were \$3,824,681, a decrease of \$371,345 from the prior year.

The general fund's fund balance increased by \$423,224, primarily due to property taxes and service revenues exceeding service operation expenditures. In addition, tap connection and inspection fees revenues exceeded the related tap connections expenditures.

The debt service fund's fund balance increased by \$28,781 because property taxes revenues were greater than bond principal and interest requirements.

The capital projects fund's fund balance decreased by \$823,350 primarily due to capital outlay expenditures and debt issuance costs exceeding proceeds from the sale of bonds.

#### **General Fund Budgetary Highlights**

There were several differences between the final budgetary amounts and actual amounts. The major differences between budget and actual were due to tap connection and inspection fees revenue and expenditures, sewer service and surface water conversion fees revenues being greater than anticipated, and purchased services and repairs and maintenance expenditures were less than anticipated. In addition, capital outlay and debt issuance costs expenditures and a transfer from the capital projects fund were not included in the current year budget. The fund balance as of August 31, 2018, was expected to be \$1,649,500 and the actual end-of-year fund balance was \$2,029,609.

#### **Capital Assets and Related Debt**

#### Capital Assets

Capital assets held by the District at the end of the current and previous fiscal years are as follows:

Capital Assets (Net of Accumulated Depreciation)									
		2018		2017					
Land and improvements	\$	585,329	\$	585,329					
Construction in progress		1,112,722		290,216					
Water facilities		3,112,899		2,841,466					
Wastewater facilities		5,190,844		4,127,936					
Drainage facilities		6,047,510		5,256,962					
Recreational facilities		61,113		63,770					
Total capital assets	\$	16,110,417	\$	13,165,679					

#### During the current year, additions to capital assets were as follows:

Construction in progress related to interim wastewater treatment	
expansion to 0.3 MGD per day	\$ 903,088
Spring Creek Utility District and Montgomery County Municipal Utility	
District No. 89 water capacity purchase	118,836
Water, wastewater and drainage facilities to serve Estates of Legend Trace,	
Legends Trace, Sections 1, 2 and 3, and Cedarwood Trace Drive	449,292
Lift station No. 2	38,216
Water, wastewater and drainage facilities to serve Wright's Landing,	
Sections 2 and 3	1,418,922
Wright's Landing lift station	 530,381
Total additions to capital assets	\$ 3,458,735

Developers of the District have constructed water, sewer and drainage facilities on behalf of the District under the terms of contracts with the District. The District has agreed to purchase these facilities from the proceeds of future bond issues, subject to the approval of the Commission. At August 31, 2018, a liability for developer-constructed capital assets of \$5,083,295 was recorded in the government-wide financial statements.

Since inception, the developers have advanced \$202,500 to the District for operations (net of repayments). These advances have been recorded as liabilities in the government-wide financial statements.

#### Debt

The changes in the debt position of the District during the fiscal year ended August 31, 2018, are summarized as follows.

Long-term debt payable, beginning of year Increases in long-term debt Decreases in long-term debt	\$ 17,323,589 7,295,407 (5,045,002)
Long-term debt payable, end of year	\$ 19,573,994

At August 31, 2018, the District had \$46,770,000 of unlimited tax bonds authorized, but unissued, for the purposes of acquiring, construction and improving the water, sanitary sewer and drainage systems within the District.

The District's bonds carry an underlying rating of "Baa3" from Moody's Investors Service (Moody's). The Series 2016 bonds carry a "A2" rating from Moody's by virtue of bond insurance issued by Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. The Series 2017 bonds carry a "AA" rating from Standard & Poor's by virtue of bond insurance issued by Build America Mutual Assurance Company.

#### **Other Relevant Factors**

#### Relationship to the City of Houston

Under existing Texas law, since the District lies partially within the extraterritorial jurisdiction (ETJ) of the City of Houston (the City), the District must conform to the City ordinance consenting to the creation of the District. After it was created, a portion of the District later fell within the ETJ of the City of Conroe, but was subsequently converted to the City at the District's option under applicable law. The District may be annexed by the City without the District's consent. If the District is annexed, the City must assume the District's assets and obligations (including the bonded indebtedness) and abolish the District within 90 days.

#### Water Supply Issues

The District is within the Lone Star Groundwater Conservation District (the Conservation District) which regulates groundwater withdrawal. The District's authority to pump groundwater from its well is subject to annual permits issued by the Conservation District. In 2006, the Conservation District adopted a District Regulatory Plan (the 2006 Plan) to reduce groundwater withdrawal through conversion to surface water in areas within the Conservation District's jurisdiction. Under the 2006 Plan, as supplemented by Phase II of the plan adopted in 2008, the District submitted to the Conservation District information regarding estimated future population, water demands and water supply sources, and must convert to 30 percent of surface water infrastructure by 2015.

The District has entered into a contract for Groundwater Reduction Planning, Alternative Water Supply and Related Goods and Services (GRP Contract) with the San Jacinto River Authority (the Authority) in order to meet the Conservation District's requirements. As a participant in the Authority's Groundwater Reduction Plan, the District has complied with all current Conservation District requirements for surface water conversion and

effective August 1, 2010, is obligated to pay to the Authority a groundwater withdrawal fee for all groundwater produced and used by the District, and will be required to pay a water purchase fee for any water actually purchased from the Authority in the future.

#### Subsequent Event

On November 8, 2018, the District sold its \$5,450,000 Unlimited Tax Bonds, Series 2018, at a net effective interest rate of approximately 4.31 percent. The bonds were sold to reimburse developers for construction costs and interest on facilities within the District.

## Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 88 Statement of Net Position and Governmental Funds Balance Sheet August 31, 2018

	 General Fund	Debt Service Fund	Capital Projects Fund			Total	A	djustments	ę	Statement of Net Position
Assets										
Cash	\$ 1,594,075	\$ 670,397	\$	755,588	\$	3,020,060	\$	-	\$	3,020,060
Certificates of deposit	344,030	627,753		-		971,783		-		971,783
Receivables:										
Property taxes	12,358	15,032		-		27,390		-		27,390
Service accounts	186,127	-		-		186,127		-		186,127
Accrued interest	3,128	5,520		-		8,648		-		8,648
Interfund receivable	32,258	-		-		32,258		(32,258)		-
Due from others	34,330	-		-		34,330		-		34,330
Prepaid expenditures	57,904	-		-		57,904		-		57,904
Capital assets (net of accumulated										
depreciation):										
Land and improvements	-	-		-		-		585,329		585,329
Construction in progress	-	-		-		-		1,112,722		1,112,722
Infrastructure	 -	 -		-		-		14,412,366		14,412,366
Total assets	\$ 2,264,210	\$ 1,318,702	\$	755,588	\$	4,338,500	\$	16,078,159	\$	20,416,659

## Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 88 Statement of Net Position and Governmental Funds Balance Sheet (Continued) August 31, 2018

	General Fund	Debt Service Fund	Capital Projects Fund	Total	Adjustments	:	Statement of Net Position
Liabilities							
Accounts payable	\$ 139,379	\$ -	\$ 149,344	\$ 288,723	\$ -	\$	288,723
Retainage payable	-	-	82,584	82,584	-		82,584
Customer deposits	72,928	-	-	72,928	-		72,928
Due to others	1,536	-	-	1,536	-		1,536
Unearned tap connection fees	8,400	-	-	8,400	-		8,400
Interfund payable	-	32,258	-	32,258	(32,258)		-
Long-term liabilities:							
Due within one year	-	-	-	-	660,000		660,000
Due after one year	 -	 -	 -	 -	18,913,994		18,913,994
Total liabilities	 222,243	 32,258	 231,928	486,429	19,541,736		20,028,165
Deferred Inflows of Resources							
Deferred property tax revenues	 12,358	 15,032	 0	27,390	(27,390)		0
Fund Balances/Net Position							
Fund balances:							
Nonspendable, prepaid expenditures	57,904	-	-	57,904	(57,904)		-
Restricted:							
Unlimited tax bonds	-	1,271,412	-	1,271,412	(1,271,412)		-
Water, sewer and drainage	-	-	523,660	523,660	(523,660)		-
Assigned, operating reserve	34,330	-	-	34,330	(34,330)		-
Unassigned	 1,937,375	 -	 -	 1,937,375	(1,937,375)		-
Total fund balances	 2,029,609	 1,271,412	 523,660	 3,824,681	(3,824,681)		0
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of							
resources and fund balances	\$ 2,264,210	\$ 1,318,702	\$ 755,588	\$ 4,338,500			
Net position:							
Net investment in capital assets					(2,949,366)		(2,949,366)
Restricted for debt service					1,286,444		1,286,444
Restricted for capital projects					9,449		9,449
Unrestricted					2,041,967		2,041,967
Total net position					\$ 388,494	\$	388,494

## **Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 88**

### Statement of Activities and Governmental Funds Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances Year Ended August 31, 2018

	General Fund	Debt Service Fund	Capital Projects Fund	Total		Total Adjustments			Statement of Activities
Revenues									
Property taxes	\$ 873,994	\$ 1,135,715	\$ -	\$	2,009,709	\$	11,057	\$	2,020,766
Water service	182,952	-	-		182,952		-		182,952
Sewer service	283,903	-	-		283,903		-		283,903
Regional water fee	280,289	-	-		280,289		-		280,289
Penalty and interest	6,653	5,401	-		12,054		(4,340)		7,714
Tap connection and inspection fees	263,745	-	-		263,745		-		263,745
Interest income	8,086	10,661	3,538		22,285		-		22,285
Other income	 17,897	 -	 -		17,897		-		17,897
Total revenues	 1,917,519	 1,151,777	 3,538		3,072,834		6,717		3,079,551
Expenditures/Expenses									
Service operations:									
Purchased services	316,707	-	-		316,707		-		316,707
Regional water fee	267,266	-	-		267,266		-		267,266
Professional fees	155,186	2,355	-		157,541		15,178		172,719
Contracted services	215,652	16,518	-		232,170		-		232,170
Utilities	31,415	-	-		31,415		-		31,415
Repairs and maintenance	208,868	-	-		208,868		-		208,868
Tap connections	134,729	-	-		134,729		-		134,729
Other expenditures	70,865	2,138	391		73,394		7,205		80,599
Capital outlay	93,067	-	5,797,570		5,890,637		(5,890,637)		-
Depreciation	-	-	-		-		488,228		488,228
Debt service:									
Principal retirement	-	650,000	-		650,000		(650,000)		-
Interest and fees	-	451,985	-		451,985		4,591		456,576
Debt issuance costs	 38,750	 	 321,819		360,569		-		360,569
Total expenditures/expenses	 1,532,505	 1,122,996	 6,119,780		8,775,281		(6,025,435)		2,749,846
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues									
Over Expenditures	 385,014	 28,781	 (6,116,242)		(5,702,447)		6,032,152		

## Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 88

### Statement of Activities and Governmental Funds Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances (Continued) Year Ended August 31, 2018

	General Fund	Debt Service Fund	Capital Projects Fund			Total Adjustments				tatement of activities
Other Financing Sources (Uses)										
Interfund transfers in (out)	\$ 38,210	\$ -	\$	(38,210)	\$	-	\$	-		
Repayment of developer advances	-	-		(15,000)		(15,000)		15,000		
General obligation bonds issued	-	-		5,500,000		5,500,000		(5,500,000)		
Discount on debt issued	 	 		(153,898)		(153,898)		153,898		
Total other financing sources	 38,210	 0		5,292,892		5,331,102		(5,331,102)		
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues and Other Financing Sources Over										
Expenditures and Other Financing										
Uses	423,224	28,781		(823,350)		(371,345)		371,345		
Change in Net Position								329,705	\$	329,705
Fund Balances/Net Position										
Beginning of year	 1,606,385	 1,242,631		1,347,010		4,196,026		-		58,789
End of year	\$ 2,029,609	\$ 1,271,412	\$	523,660	\$	3,824,681	\$	0	\$	388,494

#### Note 1: Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 88 (the District) was created by an order of the Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission, now known as the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (the Commission), effective December 29, 2000, in accordance with the Texas Water Code, Chapter 54. The District operates in accordance with Chapters 49 and 54 of the Texas Water Code and is subject to the continuing supervision of the Commission. The principal functions of the District are to finance, construct, own and operate waterworks, wastewater and drainage facilities and to provide such facilities and services to the customers of the District.

The District is governed by a Board of Directors (the Board) consisting of five individuals who are residents or owners of property within the District and are elected by voters within the District. The Board sets the policies of the District. The accounting and reporting policies of the District conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for state and local governments, as defined by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The following is a summary of the significant accounting and reporting policies of the District:

#### **Reporting Entity**

The accompanying government-wide financial statements present the financial statements of the District. There are no component units that are legally separate entities for which the District is considered to be financially accountable. Accountability is defined as the District's substantive appointment of the voting majority of the component unit's governing board. Furthermore, to be financially accountable, the District must be able to impose its will upon the component unit or there must be a possibility that the component unit may provide specific financial benefits to, or impose specific financial burdens on, the District.

#### Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements

In accordance with required reporting standards, the District reports its financial activities as a special-purpose government. Special-purpose governments are governmental entities which engage in a single governmental program, such as the provision of water, wastewater, drainage and other related services. The financial statements of special-purpose governments combine two types of financial statements into one statement. These two types of financial statements are the government-wide financial statements and the fund financial statements. The fund financial statements are presented with a column for adjustments to convert to the government-wide financial statements.

The government-wide financial statements report information on all of the activities of the District. As a general rule, the effect of interfund activity has been eliminated from the government-wide financial statements. Governmental activities generally are financed through taxes, charges for services and intergovernmental revenues. The statement of activities reflects the revenues and expenses of the District.

The fund financial statements provide information about the District's governmental funds. Separate statements for each governmental fund are presented. The emphasis of fund financial statements is directed to specific activities of the District.

The District presents the following major governmental funds:

*General Fund* – The general fund is the primary operating fund of the District which accounts for all financial resources not accounted for in another fund. Revenues are derived primarily from property taxes, charges for services and interest income.

*Debt Service Fund* – The debt service fund is used to account for financial resources that are restricted, committed or assigned to expenditures for principal and interest related costs, as well as the financial resources being accumulated for future debt service.

*Capital Projects Fund* – The capital projects fund is used to account for financial resources that are restricted, committed or assigned to expenditures for capital outlays.

#### Fund Balances – Governmental Funds

The fund balances for the District's governmental funds can be displayed in up to five components:

Nonspendable - Amounts that are not in a spendable form or are required to be maintained intact.

*Restricted* – Amounts that can be spent only for the specific purposes stipulated by external resource providers, constitutionally or through enabling legislation. Restrictions may be changed or lifted only with the consent of resource providers.

*Committed* – Amounts that can be used only for the specific purposes determined by resolution of the Board. Commitments may be changed or lifted only by issuance of a resolution by the District's Board.

*Assigned* – Amounts intended to be used by the District for specific purposes as determined by management. In governmental funds other than the general fund, assigned fund balance represents the amount that is not restricted or committed. This indicates that resources in other governmental funds are, at a minimum, intended to be used for the purpose of that fund.

*Unassigned* – The residual classification for the general fund and includes all amounts not contained in the other classifications.

The District considers restricted amounts to have been spent when an expenditure is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted fund balance is available. The District applies committed amounts first, followed by assigned amounts, and then unassigned amounts when an expenditure is incurred for purposes for which amounts in any of those unrestricted fund balance classifications could be used.

#### Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting

#### **Government-wide Financial Statements**

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded at the time liabilities are incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows.

Nonexchange transactions, in which the District receives (or gives) value without directly giving (or receiving) equal value in exchange, include property taxes and donations. Recognition standards are based on the characteristics and classes of nonexchange transactions. Revenues from property taxes are recognized in the period for which the taxes are levied. Intergovernmental revenues are recognized as revenues, net of estimated refunds and uncollectible amounts, in the accounting period when an enforceable legal claim to the assets arises and the use of resources is required or is first permitted. Donations are recognized as revenues, net of estimated uncollectible amounts, as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met. Amounts received before all eligibility requirements have been met are reported as liabilities.

#### **Fund Financial Statements**

Governmental funds are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. With this measurement focus, only current assets and liabilities are generally included on the balance sheet. The statement of governmental funds revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances presents increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in spendable resources. General capital asset acquisitions are reported as expenditures and proceeds of long-term debt are reported as other financing sources. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized when both measurable and available. The District considers revenues reported in the governmental funds to be available if they are collectible within 60 days after year-end. Principal revenue sources considered susceptible to accrual include taxes, charges for services and investment income. Other revenues are recorded when the related fund liability is incurred, except for principal and interest on general long-term debt, which are recognized as expenditures when payment is due.

#### **Deferred Outflows and Inflows of Resources**

A deferred outflow of resources is a consumption of net position that is applicable to a future reporting period and a deferred inflow of resources is an acquisition of net position that is applicable to a future reporting period.

#### Interfund Transactions

Transfers from one fund to another fund are reported as interfund receivables and payables if there is intent to repay the amount and if there is the ability to repay the advance on a timely basis. Operating transfers represent legally authorized transfers from the fund receiving resources to the fund through which the resources are to be expended.

#### **Pension Costs**

The District does not participate in a pension plan and, therefore, has no pension costs.

#### **Use of Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, and deferred inflows and outflows of resources and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses/expenditures during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### Investments and Investment Income

Investments in certificates of deposit, mutual funds, U.S. Government and agency securities, and certain pooled funds, which have a remaining maturity of one year or less at the date of purchase, are recorded at amortized cost. All other investments are carried at fair value. Fair value is determined using quoted market prices.

Investment income includes dividends and interest income and the net change for the year in the fair value of investments carried at fair value. Investment income is credited to the fund in which the investment is recorded.

#### **Property Taxes**

An appraisal district annually prepares appraisal records listing all property within the District and the appraised value of each parcel or item as of January 1. Additionally, on January 1, a tax lien attaches to property to secure the payment of all taxes, penalty and interest ultimately imposed for the year on the property. After the District receives its certified appraisal roll from the appraisal district, the rate of taxation is set by the Board of the District based upon the aggregate appraisal value. Taxes are due and payable October 1 or when billed, whichever is later, and become delinquent after January 31 of the following year.

In the governmental funds, property taxes are initially recorded as receivables and deferred inflows of resources at the time the tax levy is billed. Revenues recognized during the fiscal year ended August 31, 2018, include collections during the current period or within 60 days of year-end related to the 2017 and prior years' tax levies.

In the government-wide statement of net position, property taxes are considered earned in the budget year for which they are levied. For the District's fiscal year ended August 31, 2018, the 2017 tax levy is considered earned during the current fiscal year. In addition to property taxes levied, any delinquent taxes are recorded net of amounts considered uncollectible.

#### **Capital Assets**

Capital assets, which include property, plant, equipment and infrastructure, are reported in the government-wide financial statements. Capital assets are defined by the District as assets with an individual cost of \$5,000 or more and an estimated useful life of two years or more. Purchased or constructed capital assets are reported at cost or estimated historical cost. Donated capital assets are recorded at their estimated acquisition value at the date of donation.

The cost of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend the asset lives are not capitalized.

Capital assets are depreciated using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives as follows:

	Years
Water production and distribution facilities	10-45
Wastewater collection and treatment facilities	10-45
Drainage facilities	10-45
Recreational facilities	10-25

#### Debt Issuance Costs

Debt issuance costs, other than prepaid insurance, do not meet the definition of an asset or deferred outflows of resources since the costs are not applicable to a future period and, therefore, are recognized as an expense/expenditure in the period incurred.

#### Long-term Obligations

In the government-wide financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities. Premiums and discounts on bonds are recognized as a component of long-term liabilities and amortized over the life of the related debt using the effective interest rate method. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount.

In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize premiums and discounts on bonds during the current period. The face amount of debt issued is reported as other financing sources. Premiums received on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources while discounts on debt issuances are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures.

#### Net Position/Fund Balances

Fund balances and net position are reported as restricted when constraints placed on them are either externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments, or are imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, generally, it is the District's policy to use restricted resources first.

#### **Reconciliation of Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements**

Amounts reported for net position of governmental activities in the statement of net position and fund balances in the governmental funds balance sheet are different because:

Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and are not reported in the funds.	\$ 16,110,417
Property tax revenue recognition and the related reduction of deferred inflows of resources are subject to availability of funds in the fund financial statements.	27,390
Long-term debt obligations are not due and payable in the current period and are not reported in the funds.	 (19,573,994)
Adjustment to fund balances to arrive at net position.	\$ (3,436,187)

Amounts reported for change in net position of governmental activities in the statement of activities are different from change in fund balances in the governmental funds statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances because:

Change in fund balances.	\$ (371,345)
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, for government-wide financial statements, the cost of capitalized assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense. This is the amount by which capital outlay expenditures exceeded	
depreciation and noncapitalized expenditures in the current year.	5,380,026

Governmental funds report developer advances as other financing sources or uses as amounts are received or paid. However, for government-wide financial statements, these amounts are recorded as an increase or decrease in due to developer.	\$ 15,000
Governmental funds report the effect of premiums and discounts when debt is first issued, whereas these amounts are deferred and amortized in the statement of activities.	153,898
Governmental funds report proceeds of the sales of bonds because they provide current financial resources to governmental funds. Principal payments on debt are recorded as expenditures. None of these transactions, however, have any effect on net position.	(4,850,000)
Revenues that do not provide current financial resources are not reported as revenues for the funds, but are reported as revenues in the statement of activities.	6,717
Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and, therefore, are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds.	(4,591)
Change in net position of governmental activities.	\$ 329,705

#### Note 2: Deposits and Investments

#### Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event of a bank failure, a government's deposits may not be returned to it. The District's deposit policy for custodial credit risk requires compliance with the provisions of state law.

State law requires collateralization of all deposits with federal depository insurance; a surety bond; bonds and other obligations of the U.S. Treasury, U.S. agencies or instrumentalities of the State of Texas; or certain collateralized mortgage obligations directly issued by a federal agency or instrumentality of the United States, the underlying security for which is guaranteed by an agency or instrumentality of the United States.

At August 31, 2018, none of the District's bank balances were exposed to custodial credit risk.

#### Investments

The District may legally invest in obligations of the United States or its agencies and instrumentalities, direct obligations of Texas or its agencies or instrumentalities, collateralized mortgage obligations directly issued by a federal agency or instrumentality of the United States, the

underlying security for which is guaranteed by an agency or instrumentality of the United States, other obligations guaranteed as to principal and interest by the State of Texas or the United States or their agencies and instrumentalities, including obligations that are fully guaranteed or insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or by the explicit full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of states, agencies and counties and other political subdivisions with an investment rating not less than "A," insured or collateralized certificates of deposit, and certain bankers' acceptances, repurchase agreements, mutual funds, commercial paper, guaranteed investment contracts and investment pools.

The District's investment policy may be more restrictive than the Public Funds Investment Act.

At August 31, 2018, the District had no investments, other than certificates of deposit.

#### Investment Income

Investment income of \$22,285 for the year ended August 31, 2018, consisted of interest income.

#### Note 3: Capital Assets

A summary of changes in capital assets for the year ended August 31, 2018, is presented below:

Governmental Activities	Balances, Beginning of Year Additions		Retirements/ Reclassifi- cations	Balances, End of Year
Capital assets, non-depreciable:				
Land and improvements	\$ 585,329	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 585,329
Construction in progress	290,216	903,088	(80,582)	1,112,722
Total capital assets, non-depreciable	875,545	903,088	(80,582)	1,698,051
Capital assets, depreciable:				
Water production and distribution facilities	3,326,650	389,139	-	3,715,789
Wastewater collection and treatment facilities	4,956,043	1,192,008	54,813	6,202,864
Drainage facilities	6,220,367	974,500	-	7,194,867
Recreational facilities	66,427	-		66,427
Total capital assets, depreciable	14,569,487	2,555,647	54,813	17,179,947
Less accumulated depreciation:				
Water production and distribution facilities	(485,184)	(117,706)	-	(602,890)
Wastewater collection and treatment facilities	(828,107)	(183,913)	-	(1,012,020)
Drainage facilities	(963,405)	(183,952)	-	(1,147,357)
Recreational facilities	(2,657)	(2,657)		(5,314)
Total accumulated depreciation	(2,279,353)	(488,228)	0	(2,767,581)
Total governmental activities, net	\$ 13,165,679	\$ 2,970,507	\$ (25,769)	\$ 16,110,417

The District capitalizes interest costs as a component of construction in progress, based on the weighted-average rates paid for long-term borrowing. Total interest incurred was:

Interest costs capitalized Interest costs charged to expense	\$ 24,084 456,576
Total interest incurred	\$ 480,660

#### Note 4: Long-term Liabilities

Changes in long-term liabilities for the year ended August 31, 2018, were as follows:

Governmental Activities	Balance Beginnir of Year	g	Increases	D	ecreases	Balances, End of Year		mounts Due in ne Year
Bonds payable:	¢ 0.025	200 đ	<b>5 5</b> 00 000		(50.000	ф. 14 с <b>7</b> 5 000	۴	
General obligation bonds Less discounts on bonds	\$    9,825, 246,		5,500,000 153,898		650,000 13,795	\$ 14,675,000 386,801	\$	660,000
	9,578,	302	5,346,102	2	636,205	14,288,199		660,000
Bond anticipation notes	217	-00			15 000	-		
Developer advances Due to developers	217, 7,527,		1,949,305	5	15,000 4,393,797	202,500 5,083,295		-
Total governmental activities long-term liabilities	<u> </u>	589 \$	5 7,295,40°	7 \$	5,045,002	\$ 19,573,994	\$	660,000

#### **General Obligation Bonds**

	Series 2012	Series 2014
Amounts outstanding, August 31, 2018	\$2,215,000	\$2,500,000
Interest rates	2.00% to 4.00%	2.000% to 4.125%
Maturity dates, serially beginning/ending	September 1, 2019/2035	September 1, 2019/2036
Interest payment dates	September 1/ March 1	September 1/ March 1
Callable dates*	September 1, 2019	September 1, 2022

\*Or any date thereafter; callable at par plus accrued interest to the date of redemption.

	Series 2016	Series 2017
Amounts outstanding, August 31, 2018	\$4,760,000	\$5,200,000
Interest rates	2.00% to 4.00%	2.00% to 3.50%
Maturity dates, serially beginning/ending	September 1, 2019/2039	September 1, 2019/2040
Interest payment dates	September 1/ March 1	September 1/ March 1
Callable dates*	September 1, 2023	September 1, 2024

\*Or any date thereafter; callable at par plus accrued interest to the date of redemption.

#### Annual Debt Service Requirements

The District has been paying the amount due September 1 within the fiscal year preceding this due date, and the following schedule has been prepared assuming that this practice will be followed in future years. The schedule shows the annual debt service requirements to pay principal and interest on general obligation bonds outstanding at August 31, 2018.

Year	Principal	Interest		Total
2019	\$ 660,000	\$	464,467	\$ 1,124,467
2020	670,000		448,012	1,118,012
2021	680,000		426,812	1,106,812
2022	690,000		405,097	1,095,097
2023	705,000		386,047	1,091,047
2024-2028	3,525,000		1,660,944	5,185,944
2029-2033	3,615,000		1,122,202	4,737,202
2034-2038	3,405,000		466,432	3,871,432
2039-2040	 725,000		32,781	 757,781
Total	\$ 14,675,000	\$	5,412,794	\$ 20,087,794

The bonds are payable from the proceeds of an ad valorem tax levied upon all property within the District subject to taxation, without limitation as to rate or amount.

Bonds voted	\$ 63,090,000
Bonds sold	16,320,000
Refunding bonds voted	41,000,000

#### Due to Developers

Developers of the District have constructed underground utilities on behalf of the District. The District has agreed to reimburse the developers for these construction costs and interest to the extent approved by the Commission. The District's engineer estimates reimbursable costs for completed projects are \$5,083,295. These amounts have been recorded in the financial statements as long-term liabilities.

Since inception, developers have advanced \$202,500 (net of repayments) to the District for operations. Due to the uncertain reimbursement date, these advances have been recorded as long-term liabilities in the financial statements.

#### Note 5: Significant Bond Resolution and Commission Requirements

- A. The Bond Resolutions require that the District levy and collect an ad valorem debt service tax sufficient to pay interest and principal on bonds when due. During the year ended August 31, 2018, the District levied an ad valorem debt service tax at the rate of \$0.7800 per \$100 of assessed valuation, which resulted in a tax levy of \$1,142,625 on the taxable valuation of \$146,490,411 for the 2017 tax year. The interest and principal requirements paid from the tax revenues were \$1,104,635.
- B. The Commission required the District to escrow \$ 75,676 from the proceeds of its Series 2017 bonds. At the balance sheet date, the balance was invested in a money market accounts.
- C. During the current year, the District transferred \$38,210 from the capital projects fund to the general fund. The transfer was in accordance with the rules of the Commission.

#### Note 6: Maintenance Taxes

At an election held May 3, 2003, voters authorized a maintenance tax not to exceed \$1.50 per \$100 valuation on all property within the District subject to taxation. During the year ended August 31, 2018, the District levied an ad valorem maintenance tax at the rate of \$0.6000 per \$100 of assessed valuation, which resulted in a tax levy of \$878,943 on the taxable valuation of \$146,490,411 for the 2017 tax year. The maintenance tax is being used by the general fund to pay expenditures of operating the District.

#### Note 7: Wastewater Treatment Plant Lease

On April 5, 2007, as amended June 4, 2009, the District and AUC Group, LP, entered into an agreement for the lease of a wastewater treatment plant. The effective date of the amendment was June 1, 2009. The cost of the lease was \$6,425 per month prior to the amendment effective date and \$5,900 per month thereafter. The term of the lease was 60 months from the amendment effective date. During a prior year, the District exercised its option to extend the lease for successive 90-day periods at a cost of \$4,800 per month, which began in fiscal 2014. Lease expenditures during the fiscal year ended August 31, 2018, were \$57,600, which is included in purchased services on the statement of activities. In connection with this lease, at August 31, 2018, the District has prepaid \$4,800 for future rent.

On November 10, 2017, the District and AUC Group, LP, entered into an agreement for the lease of a wastewater treatment plant expansion to 0.3 MGD. The cost of the lease is \$26,400 per month. The term of the lease is 60 months following substantial completion of installation and startup of the equipment. The lease did not commence during the current year, and only first and last month's lease payments were made, totaling \$52,800 as prepaid expenditures.

#### Note 8: Contracts With Other Districts

#### Storm Water Facilities Agreement

On April 15, 2003, the District, Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 89 (District No. 89) and Spring Creek Utility District (Spring Creek) entered into a cost-sharing agreement for the construction and financing of drainage and detention facilities. District No. 89 was responsible for the design and construction of the facilities. Pump station facilities will be allocated based on each district's pro rata share of the ultimate acreage to be served by the facilities. Pro rata shares are as follows:

The District	30.7 %
District No. 89	40.8
Spring Creek	<u>28.5</u>
Totals	<u>    100.0</u> %

The pro rata share of the drainage and detention will be owned 100 percent by Spring Creek. The District incurred costs of \$158,849 during the current year. The District has contributed \$9,780 as its share of an operating reserve.

#### Water Supply Agreement

On October 7, 2004, the District and District No. 89 entered into a water facilities contract for the financing and construction of a second water plant and water well. Construction of the second water plant was completed during a prior year. The District's ownership interest in the capacity of the plant is 44.9 percent and District No. 89's is 55.1 percent. On August 15, 2008, the District, District No. 89 and Spring Creek (collectively, "the Districts") entered into a First Amendment to Water Facilities Contract for expansion of District No. 89's Water Plant No. 2. On September 19, 2011, the Districts entered into a Second Amendment to Water Facilities Contract to allow construction to begin and to provide for the District to reimburse Spring Creek for its share of construction costs. On December 6, 2012, the Districts entered into a Third Amendment to Water Facilities Contract, to reduce Spring Creek's ultimate capacity in the facilities. On June 14, 2018, the Districts entered into a Fourth Amendment to the Water Facilities Contract, to allocate a portion of capacity from Spring Creek and District No. 89 to the District.

District No. 89 is responsible for the operation and maintenance of the plant for the benefit of the participants. The participants share fixed operating costs, based on allocated capacity and variable costs based on each district's pro rata share of metered monthly usage. For the year ended August 31, 2018, the District's share of operating costs was \$100,258. The District has contributed \$24,550 as its share of an operating reserve.

#### Road Utilities Agreement

On August 4, 2005, the District entered into an agreement with District No. 89 for the purpose of sharing the cost of constructing and maintaining utilities located in roadways located in or adjacent to the District. The contract is for a period of 40 years.

District No. 89 holds title for the benefit of the participants. The District's share of construction costs of the road utilities were funded by contribution of funds from developers within the District. At August 31, 2018, the District's 50 percent ownership of the road utilities is recorded as a capital asset of the District.

Operating costs are to be shared based on ownership. During the fiscal year ended August 31, 2018, the District was not billed for operating expenditures under the terms of the agreement.

#### Note 9: Risk Management

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; and natural disasters for which the District participates, along with other entities, in the Texas Municipal League's Intergovernmental Risk Pool (the Pool). The Pool purchases commercial insurance at group rates for participants in the Pool. The District has no

additional risk or responsibility to the Pool, outside of payment of insurance premiums. The District has not significantly reduced insurance coverage or had settlements which exceeded coverage amounts in the past three fiscal years.

#### Note 10: Groundwater Reduction Agreement

District No. 89 has entered into a Contract for Groundwater Reduction Planning, Alternative Water Supply and Related Goods and Services (GRP Contract) with the San Jacinto River Authority (the Authority) in order to meet the Lone Star Groundwater Conservation District (the Conservation District) requirements. As a participant in the Authority's Groundwater Reduction Plan, District No. 89 has complied with all current Conservation District requirements for surface water conversion and is obligated to pay to the Authority a groundwater withdrawal fee for all groundwater produced and used by District No. 89, and will be required to pay a water purchase fee for any water actually purchased from the Authority in the future. As of August 31, 2018, the Authority was billing District No. 89 \$2.64 per 1,000 gallons of water pumped. This rate is subject to future increases. The District pays its Authority fees indirectly through its Water Facilities Contract with District No. 89. District No. 89 began billing the participants in July 2010. During the year ended August 31, 2018, the District incurred \$267,266 for groundwater withdrawal fees.

#### Note 11: Regional Water Authority

The District is within the boundaries of the Conservation District, which was created by the Texas Legislature. The Conservation District was created to provide a regional entity to acquire surface water and build the necessary facilities to convert from groundwater to surface water in order to meet conversion requirements mandated by the Harris-Galveston Subsidence District, which regulates groundwater withdrawal. As of August 31, 2018, the Conservation District was billing District No. 89 \$0.105 per 1,000 gallons of water pumped from its wells. The District pays its Conservation District fees indirectly through its Water Facilities Contract with District No. 89. The Conservation District fee is subject to future increases.

#### Note 12: Subsequent Event

On November 8, 2018, the District sold its \$5,450,000 Unlimited Tax Bonds, Series 2018, at a net effective interest rate of approximately 4.31 percent. The bonds were sold to reimburse developers for construction costs and interest on facilities within the District.

**Required Supplementary Information** 

## **Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 88**

### Budgetary Comparison Schedule – General Fund Year Ended August 31, 2018

	Original Budget	Final Amended Budget	Actual	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)
Revenues				
Property taxes	\$ 862,000	\$ 862,000	\$ 873,994	\$ 11,994
Water service	150,000	150,000	182,952	32,952
Sewer service	210,000	210,000	283,903	73,903
Surface water conversion	190,000	190,000	280,289	90,289
Penalty and interest	10,000	10,000	6,653	(3,347)
Tap connection and inspection fees	130,000	130,000	263,745	133,745
Investment income	2,500	2,500	8,086	5,586
Other			17,897	17,897
Total revenues	1,554,500	1,554,500	1,917,519	363,019
Expenditures				
Service operations:				
Purchased services	380,000	380,000	316,707	63,293
Regional water fee	305,000	305,000	267,266	37,734
Professional fees	154,600	154,600	155,186	(586)
Contracted services	214,400	204,400	215,652	(11,252)
Utilities	50,000	50,000	31,415	18,585
Repairs and maintenance	197,000	258,685	208,868	49,817
Other expenditures	103,700	103,700	70,865	32,835
Tap connections	55,000	55,000	134,729	(79,729)
Capital outlay	-	-	93,067	(93,067)
Debt service, debt issuance costs			38,750	(38,750)
Total expenditures	1,459,700	1,511,385	1,532,505	(21,120)
Excess of Revenues Over Expenditures	94,800	43,115	385,014	341,899
Other Financing Sources				
Interfund transfers in			38,210	38,210
Excess of Revenues and Transfers In Over Expenditures and Transfers Out	94,800	43,115	423,224	380,109
Fund Balances, Beginning of Year	1,606,385	1,606,385	1,606,385	
Fund Balances, End of Year	\$ 1,701,185	\$ 1,649,500	\$ 2,029,609	\$ 380,109

## Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 88 Notes to Required Supplementary Information August 31, 2018

#### Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

An annual operating budget is prepared for the general fund by the District's consultants. The budget reflects resources expected to be received during the year and expenditures expected to be incurred. The Board of Directors is required to adopt the budget prior to the start of its fiscal year. The budget is not a spending limitation (a legally restricted appropriation). The original budget of the general fund was amended during fiscal 2018.

The District prepares its annual operating budget on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The Budgetary Comparison Schedule - General Fund presents the original and revised budget amounts, if revised, compared to the actual amounts of revenues and expenditures for the current year.

**Other Information** 

## Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 88 Other Schedules Included Within This Report August 31, 2018

(Schedules included are checked or explanatory notes provided for omitted schedules.)

- [X] Notes Required by the Water District Accounting Manual See "Notes to Financial Statements," Pages 14-27
- [X] Schedule of Services and Rates
- [X] Schedule of General Fund Expenditures
- [] Schedule of Temporary Investments Not Applicable
- [X] Analysis of Taxes Levied and Receivable
- [X] Schedule of Long-term Debt Service Requirements by Years
- [X] Changes in Long-term Bonded Debt
- [X] Comparative Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures General Fund and Debt Service Fund Five Years
- [X] Board Members, Key Personnel and Consultants

## Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 88

### Schedule of Services and Rates Year Ended August 31, 2018

#### 1. Services provided by the District:

X Retail Water	Wholesale Water	X Drainage			
X Retail Wastewater	Wholesale Wastewater	Irrigation			
Parks/Recreation	Fire Protection	Security			
X Solid Waste/Garbage	Flood Control	Roads			
X Participates in joint venture, regional system and/or wastewater service (other than emergency interconnect)					
Other					

#### 2. Retail service providers

a. Retail rates for a 5/8" meter (or equivalent):

a. Retain fates for a 5/6 meter	Minimum Charge	Minimum Usage	Flat Rate Y/N	Rate Per 1,000 Gallons Over Minimum	Usage Levels	
Water:	\$ 12.00	6,999	N	\$ 1.50 \$ 1.75 \$ 5.00	7,000to12,99913,000to20,00020,001toNo Limit	
Wastewater:	\$ 30.65	0	Y			
Regional water fee:	\$ 0.105	1	N	\$ 0.105	1 to No Limit	
SJRA fee	\$ 2.90	1	N	\$ 2.90	1 to No Limit	
Does the District employ winter averaging for wastewater usage?			Yes	No X		
Total charges per 10,000 gallo	ons usage (including f	ees):	Water	\$ 57.50	Wastewater \$ 30.65	

b. Water and wastewater retail connections:

Meter Size	Total Connections	Active Connections	ESFC Factor	Active ESFC*
Unmetered	-	-	x1.0	-
$\leq 3/4$ "	850	847	x1.0	847
1"	5	5	x2.5	13
1 1/2"	-	-	x5.0	-
2"	9	9	x8.0	72
3"	-	-	x15.0	-
4"	-	-	x25.0	-
6"	-	-	x50.0	-
8"	-	-	x80.0	-
10"	-	-	x115.0	-
Total water	864	861		932
Total wastewater	849	846	x1.0	846
Total water consumption (in thousands)	) during the fiscal year:			

 Total water consumption (in thousands) during the fiscal year: Gallons pumped into the system: Gallons billed to customers: Water accountability ratio (gallons billed/gallons pumped):

\*"ESFC" means equivalent single-family connections

99.755

99 75

100.00%

## Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 88

# Schedule of General Fund Expenditures

Year Ended August 31, 2018

Personnel (including benefits)		\$ -
Professional Fees Auditing Legal Engineering Financial advisor	\$ 14,950 66,015 74,221	155,186
Purchased Services for Resale Bulk water and wastewater service purchases		316,707
Regional Water Fee		267,266
Contracted Services Bookkeeping General manager Appraisal district Tax collector Security Other contracted services	11,944 - - 33,790 48,584	94,318
Utilities	 	31,415
Repairs and Maintenance		208,868
Administrative Expenditures Directors' fees Office supplies Insurance Other administrative expenditures	9,000 32,844 5,779 23,242	70,865
Capital Outlay Capitalized assets Expenditures not capitalized	93,067	93,067
Tap Connection Expenditures		134,729
Solid Waste Disposal		121,334
Fire Fighting		-
Parks and Recreation		-
Other Expenditures		38,750
Total expenditures		\$ 1,532,505

#### Analysis of Taxes Levied and Receivable Year Ended August 31, 2018

	ntenance Faxes	Debt Service Taxes
<b>Receivable, Beginning of Year</b> Additions and corrections to prior years' taxes	\$ 7,573 (164)	\$ 8,760 (638)
Adjusted receivable, beginning of year	 7,409	 8,122
<b>2017 Original Tax Levy</b> Additions and corrections	869,970 8,973	1,130,960 11,665
Adjusted tax levy	878,943	 1,142,625
Total to be accounted for	886,352	1,150,747
Tax collections: Current year Prior years	 (871,797) (2,197)	 (1,133,335) (2,380)
Receivable, end of year	\$ 12,358	\$ 15,032
Receivable, by Years		
2017	\$ 7,146	\$ 9,290
2016 2015	1,738	2,223
2013 2014	 1,854 1,620	 1,827 1,692
Receivable, end of year	\$ 12,358	\$ 15,032

Analysis of Taxes Levied and Receivable (Continued) Year Ended August 31, 2018

	2017	2016	2015	2014
Property Valuations				
Land	\$ 28,609,740	\$ 23,388,630	\$ 19,466,320	\$ 16,137,310
Improvements	118,930,330	114,326,810	98,653,750	67,748,540
Personal property	1,894,350	1,572,064	1,031,017	669,417
Exemptions	(2,944,009)	(2,862,453)	(2,476,494)	(1,680,073)
Total property valuations	\$ 146,490,411	\$ 136,425,051	\$ 116,674,593	\$ 82,875,194
Tax Rates per \$100 Valuation				
Debt service tax rates	\$ 0.7800	\$ 0.7800	\$ 0.6900	\$ 0.7100
Maintenance tax rates*	0.6000	0.6100	0.7000	0.6800
Total tax rates per \$100 valuation	\$ 1.3800	\$ 1.3900	\$ 1.3900	\$ 1.3900
Tax Levy	\$ 2,021,568	\$ 1,896,309	\$ 1,621,777	\$ 1,151,966
Percent of Taxes Collected to Taxes Levied**	99%	99%	99%	99%

\*Maximum tax rate approved by voters: \$1.50 on May 3, 2003

\*\*Calculated as taxes collected for a tax year divided by taxes levied for that tax year.

#### Schedule of Long-term Debt Service Requirements by Years August 31, 2018

		Ser	ies 2012	
Due During Fiscal Years Ending August 31	rincipal Due otember 1	Sep	erest Due tember 1, larch 1	Total
2019	\$ 85,000	\$	77,205	\$ 162,205
2020	90,000		75,250	165,250
2021	95,000		73,000	168,000
2022	100,000		70,435	170,435
2023	105,000		67,435	172,435
2024	110,000		64,285	174,285
2025	115,000		60,875	175,875
2026	120,000		57,195	177,195
2027	125,000		53,235	178,235
2028	135,000		48,985	183,985
2029	140,000		44,260	184,260
2030	145,000		39,220	184,220
2031	155,000		34,000	189,000
2032	160,000		27,800	187,800
2033	170,000		21,400	191,400
2034	180,000		14,600	194,600
2035	 185,000		7,400	 192,400
Totals	\$ 2,215,000	\$	836,580	\$ 3,051,580

#### Schedule of Long-term Debt Service Requirements by Years (Continued) August 31, 2018

			Ser	ies 2014		
Due During Fiscal Years Ending August 31		rincipal Due otember 1	Sept	erest Due tember 1, larch 1		Total
2019	\$	125,000	\$	87,156	\$	212,156
2020	+	125,000	Ŧ	84,656	т	209,656
2021		125,000		80,906		205,906
2022		125,000		77,156		202,156
2023		125,000		73,406		198,406
2024		125,000		69,656		194,656
2025		125,000		65,906		190,906
2026		130,000		62,156		192,156
2027		130,000		57,931		187,931
2028		130,000		53,381		183,381
2029		130,000		48,831		178,831
2030		130,000		44,120		174,120
2031		130,000		39,244		169,244
2032		130,000		34,370		164,370
2033		130,000		29,494		159,494
2034		130,000		24,131		154,131
2035		130,000		18,770		148,770
2036		325,000		13,406		338,406
Totals	\$	2,500,000	\$	964,676	\$	3,464,676

#### Schedule of Long-term Debt Service Requirements by Years (Continued) August 31, 2018

			Ser	ries 2016		
Due During Fiscal Years Ending August 31	[	ncipal Due ember 1	Sep	erest Due tember 1, larch 1		Total
2019	\$	150,000	\$	151,231	\$	301,231
2019	ψ	155,000	Ψ	145,231	ψ	300,231
2020		160,000		139,031		299,031
2021		165,000		132,631		299,031
2022		175,000		129,331		304,331
2023		175,000		129,531		305,613
2024		190,000		121,563		311,563
2025		190,000		116,813		311,503
2020		205,000		111,938		316,938
2027		203,000		105,787		315,787
2028		210,000		99,488		319,488
2029		220,000		99,488 92,887		319,488
2030		,		,		<i>,</i>
		240,000		85,988		325,988
2032		250,000		78,487		328,487
2033		255,000		70,363		325,363
2034		270,000		62,075		332,075
2035		280,000		53,300		333,300
2036		290,000		43,850		333,850
2037		300,000		33,700		333,700
2038		315,000		23,200		338,200
2039		325,000		11,781		336,781
Totals	\$	4,760,000	\$	1,934,288	\$	6,694,288

#### Schedule of Long-term Debt Service Requirements by Years (Continued) August 31, 2018

			ries 2017	
Due During Fiscal Years Ending August 31	rincipal Due tember 1	Sep	erest Due tember 1, larch 1	Total
2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024	\$ 300,000 300,000 300,000 300,000 300,000 300,000	\$	148,875 142,875 133,875 124,875 115,875 109,875	\$ 448,875 442,875 433,875 424,875 415,875 409,875
2025 2026 2027 2028 2029	300,000 300,000 200,000 200,000		103,875 97,125 90,000 84,750 78,750	403,875 397,125 290,000 284,750
2029 2030 2031 2032 2033	200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000		78,750 72,750 66,500 60,250 54,000	278,750 272,750 266,500 260,250 254,000
2033 2034 2035 2036 2037	200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000		47,500 41,000 34,500 28,000	247,500 241,000 234,500 228,000
2038 2039 2040 Totals	 \$ 200,000 200,000 200,000 5,200,000	\$	21,000 14,000 7,000 1,677,250	\$ 221,000 214,000 207,000 6,877,250

### Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 88 Schedule of Long-term Debt Service Requirements by Years (Continued) August 31, 2018

### Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 88 **Changes in Long-term Bonded Debt** Year Ended August 31, 2018

					В	ond Issues			
	Se	eries 2012	Se	eries 2014	Se	eries 2016	Se	eries 2017	Totals
Interest rates	2.00	0% to 4.00%	2.00	% to 4.125%	2.00	0% to 4.00%	2.0	0% to 3.50%	
Dates interest payable		eptember 1/ March 1		ptember 1/ March 1		ptember 1/ March 1	Se	eptember 1/ March 1	
Maturity dates		eptember 1, 2019/2035		ptember 1, 019/2036		ptember 1, 019/2039		eptember 1, 2019/2040	
Bonds outstanding, beginning of current year	\$	2,295,000	\$	2,625,000	\$	4,905,000	\$	-	\$ 9,825,000
Bonds sold during current year		-		-		-		5,500,000	5,500,000
Retirements, principal		80,000		125,000		145,000		300,000	 650,000
Bonds outstanding, end of current year	\$	2,215,000	\$	2,500,000	\$	4,760,000	\$	5,200,000	\$ 14,675,000
Interest paid during current year	\$	78,885	\$	89,656	\$	157,031	\$	129,063	\$ 454,635

Paying agent's name and address:

Series 2012	- The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., Dallas, Texas
Series 2014	- The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., Dallas, Texas
Series 2016	- The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., Dallas, Texas
Series 2017	- The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., Dallas, Texas

Bond authority:	Т	ax Bonds	Other Bonds	F	Refunding Bonds
Amount authorized by voters	\$	63,090,000	0	\$	41,000,000
Amount issued	\$	16,320,000	0	\$	-
Remaining to be issued	\$	46,770,000	0	\$	41,000,000
Debt service fund cash and temporary investment balances as of August 31, 2018:				\$	1,298,150
Average annual debt service payment (principal and interest) for remaining term of al	l debt:			\$	913,082

#### Comparative Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures – General Fund Five Years Ended August 31,

			Amounts		
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
General Fund					
Revenues					
Property taxes	\$ 873,994	\$ 831,687	\$ 813,714	\$ 562,024	\$ 358,068
Water service	182,952	138,579	117,884	109,541	91,158
Sewer service	283,903	225,471	196,006	184,780	162,630
Bulk water sales	-	17,730	-	-	-
Regional water fees	280,289	207,039	158,192	128,003	80,126
Penalty and interest	6,653	8,080	8,131	9,997	6,744
Tap connection and inspection fees	263,745	114,600	40,375	36,885	146,145
Investment income	8,086	4,403	2,736	1,065	993
Other income	17,897	30,007	15,921	7,848	11,910
Total revenues	1,917,519	1,577,596	1,352,959	1,040,143	857,774
Expenditures					
Service operations:					
Purchased services	316,707	309,637	203,378	140,703	193,885
Regional water fees	267,266	130,958	179,616	119,746	100,392
Professional fees	155,186	176,241	161,248	186,292	112,365
Contracted services	215,652	150,428	131,332	116,800	95,082
Utilities	31,415	26,473	26,853	41,492	46,289
Repairs and maintenance	208,868	159,864	123,118	183,832	79,062
Other expenditures	70,865	59,340	52,972	48,822	46,597
Tap connections	134,729	53,140	19,640	21,533	88,738
Capital outlay	93,067	143,499	-	-	42,677
Debt service, debt issuance costs	38,750	33,229			
Total expenditures	1,532,505	1,242,809	898,157	859,220	805,087
Excess of Revenues Over Expenditures	385,014	334,787	454,802	180,923	52,687
Other Financing Sources					
Interfund transfers in	38,210			39,377	
Excess of Revenues and Transfers In Over Expenditures and Transfers Out	423,224	334,787	454,802	220,300	52,687
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	1,606,385	1,271,598	816,796	596,496	543,809
Fund Balance, End of Year	\$ 2,029,609	\$ 1,606,385	\$ 1,271,598	\$ 816,796	\$ 596,496
Total Active Retail Water Connections	861	675	561	532	497
Total Active Retail Wastewater Connections	846	664	553	524	489

2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
45.6 %	52.7 %	60.1 %	54.0 %	41.7 %
43.0 % 9.5	8.8	8.7	10.5	41.7 % 10.6
14.8	14.3	14.5	17.8	10.0
-	14.5	-	-	-
14.6	13.1	11.7	12.3	9.4
0.4	0.5	0.6	1.0	0.8
13.8	7.3	3.0	3.5	17.0
0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1
0.9	1.9	1.2	0.8	1.4
100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
16.5	19.6	15.0	13.5	22.6
13.9	8.3	13.3	11.5	11.7
8.1	11.2	11.9	17.9	13.1
0.1				
11.3	9.5	9.7	11.2	11.1
	9.5 1.7	9.7 2.0	11.2 4.0	5.4
11.3				
11.3 1.6	1.7	2.0	4.0	5.4
11.3 1.6 10.9	1.7 14.0	2.0 9.1	4.0 17.7	5.4 9.2
11.3 1.6 10.9 3.7	1.7 14.0 3.8	2.0 9.1 3.9	4.0 17.7 4.7	5.4 9.2 5.4
11.3 1.6 10.9 3.7 7.0	1.7 14.0 3.8 3.4	2.0 9.1 3.9	4.0 17.7 4.7	5.4 9.2 5.4 10.4
11.3 1.6 10.9 3.7 7.0 4.9	1.7 14.0 3.8 3.4 5.3	2.0 9.1 3.9	4.0 17.7 4.7	5.4 9.2 5.4 10.4

### Comparative Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures – Debt Service Fund Five Years Ended August 31,

	Amounts					
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	
Debt Service Fund						
Revenues						
Property taxes	\$ 1,135,715	\$ 1,062,416	\$ 802,231	\$ 586,572	\$ 333,202	
Penalty and interest	5,401	8,480	7,149	4,323	3,051	
Investment income	10,661	5,066	3,761	1,195	885	
Total revenues	1,151,777	1,075,962	813,141	592,090	337,138	
Expenditures						
Current:						
Professional fees	2,355	3,194	1,400	1,008	689	
Contracted services	16,518	26,767	20,417	15,781	11,172	
Other expenditures	2,138	2,850	1,500	2,262	2,282	
Debt service:						
Principal retirement	650,000	340,000	330,000	195,000	65,000	
Interest and fees	451,985	337,270	285,808	164,995	85,305	
Total expenditures	1,122,996	710,081	639,125	379,046	164,448	
Excess of Revenues Over Expenditures	28,781	365,881	174,016	213,044	172,690	
Other Financing Sources						
General obligation bonds issued				48,578		
Excess of Revenues and Other Financing Sources Over Expenditures and Other						
Financing Uses	28,781	365,881	174,016	261,622	172,690	
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	1,242,631	876,750	702,734	441,112	268,422	
Fund Balance, End of Year	\$ 1,271,412	\$ 1,242,631	\$ 876,750	\$ 702,734	\$ 441,112	

2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	
98.6 %	98.7 %	98.6 %	99.1 %	98.8	
0.5	0.8	0.9	0.7	0.9	
0.9	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.3	
100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
0.2 1.4	0.3 2.5	0.2 2.5	0.2 2.6	0.2 3.3	
0.2	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.7	
56.4	31.6	40.6	32.9	19.3	
39.3	31.3	35.1	27.9	25.3	
97.5	66.0	78.6	64.0	48.8	
2.5 %	34.0 %	21.4 %	36.0 %	51.2	

### Montgomery County Municipal Utility District No. 88 Board Members, Key Personnel and Consultants Year Ended August 31, 2018

Complete District mailing address: District business telephone number: Submission date of the most recent D	e		
(TWC Sections 36.054 and 49.054)	:	Octob	er 16, 2018
Limit on fees of office that a director	may receive during a fiscal year:	\$	7,200

Board Members	Term of Office Elected & Expires	e 1 &		Expense Reimbursements		Title at Year-end	
Matthew Determan	Elected 05/16- 05/20	\$	1,650	\$	0	President	
Stephen Dempsey	Elected 05/18- 05/22		2,700		2,758	Vice President	
Jennifer Donato	Elected 05/18- 05/22		2,100		864	Secretary	
Ryan Temple	Elected 05/16- 05/20		1,650		0	Assistant Secretary	
Kimberly Clifton	Appointed 07/18- 05/22		0		0	Director	
Juan Galvan	Elected 05/14- 02/18		900		0	Resigned	

\*Fees are the amounts actually paid to a director during the District's fiscal year.

### Board Members, Key Personnel and Consultants (Continued) Year Ended August 31, 2018

	Fees and Expense				
Consultants	Date Hired	Reimb	pursements	Title	
BKD, LLP	07/07/11	\$	28,650	Auditor	
Hilltop Securities Inc.	11/01/01		110,250	Former Financial Advisor	
Thirtop Securities ne.	11/01/01		110,230	Auvisoi	
Jones & Carter, Inc.	07/05/12		177,053	Engineer	
Bob Leared	11/01/01		16,051	Tax Assessor/ Collector	
Masterson Advisors, LLC	05/10/18		0	Financial Advisor	
Montgomery Central Appraisal District	Legislative Action		4,855	Appraiser	
Municipal Operations & Consulting, Inc.	06/04/15		316,738	Operator	
Myrtle Cruz, Inc.	11/01/01		17,187	Bookkeeper	
Perdue, Brandon, Fielder, Collins & Mott, L.L.P.	03/01/07		2,355	Delinquent Tax Attorney	
Roach & Mitchell, PLLC	10/08/15		228,016	Attorney	
Investment Officer					
Mary Jarmon	11/01/01		N/A	Bookkeeper	